Legislative Fiscal Analyst:

Agency Response Form

Version 2009 2.1

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill

SB 195 Amended Date 2/27/2009

Short Title

Public Employee Defined Contribution Amendments

Contact	Von Hortin	Title	Audit/Finance Specialist
Agency: State Office of Education		Phone	801 538-7670

Short Form

Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals.

If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice.

Attachments welcome.

X	State	agencie	s will	l not	require	an	appropriation	to	implem	ent th	ıe b	ill.

- There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- X There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- x The bill will not affect revenues.

Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

This bill would have no fiscal impact but could save the state some money if there were a tie between amounts paid by school districts and funding. Since the SS & Retirement line items are not tied to actual expense it may not impact any savings.

A.	What	parts	of	the	bill	cause	fiscal	impa	ct?
----	------	-------	----	-----	------	-------	--------	------	-----

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

В.	Which	program	gets	the	appro	priation?
	/ / III © II	programm	5000	CIIC	appro	princion.

(Approp. Unit Code)

(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is _____ of _____

C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

The figures on the calculations sheet use only amounts from school districts. There are a few Charter schools who participate in the state retirement system but we could not gather the data in time to submit the fiscal note in a timely manner. Also we cannot estimate how many of the school district employees have been in continuous service since converting from the contributory system. The assumption here is that these two unrelated items would potentially off -set each other.

Since there is no tie between the events of this bill and the school districts and their revenues from the state, these savings might not occur or might not be the entire amount on the calculations sheet.

Fiscal Impact Table	Current Budget Year FY 2009	Coming Budget Year FY 2010	Future Budget Year FY 2011						
D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)									
Total	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0						
E. Show Costs to Implement	E. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Fund (Select funds from drop-down menu.)								
Total	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>						
F. Show Costs to Implement	the Bill by Expense Catego	ory.							
Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru Total	\$0	\$0	\$0						
G. How will the bill impa	ct local governments?								
Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments. Attachments welcome.	A local school district or ch obligations and pay the am requirement for the year.								
H. How will the bill impa	ect businesses?								
Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses. Attachments welcome.	NA								
I. How will the bill impact individuals?									
Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.	Public Employees would be	e left with less in their agr	reed upon benefits.						
Attachments welcome.		The design of the second							
This is a draft fiscal note res	ponse from the Utah State Office of I	Education (USOE) and may be re	vised in the future.						

UTAH STATE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Schedule of Retirement and 1.5% amounts Paid in 2008

Fund	Func	Retirement	1.5% Amount 1/2 of 1.5		1/2 of 1.5%	
10	1000	\$ 205,142,171	\$	19,562,190	\$	9,781,095
10	2100	11,636,630		1,109,660		554,830
10	2200	12,561,809		1,197,884		598,942
10	2300	2,686,230		256,157		128,078
10	2400	20,740,109		1,977,760		988,880
10	2500	6,041,620		576,124		288,062
10	2600	15,865,676		1,512,938		756,469
10	2700	8,078,627		770,371		385,186
10	2900	335,804		32,022		16,011
21	1000	170,389		16,248		8,124
23	1000	5,972,790		569,560		284,780
23	3300	1,978,111		188,631		94,316
30	4502	1,843,274		175,773		87,887
49	3100	8,039,392		766,630		383,315
Other		304,382		29,026		14,513
,	Total	\$ 301,397,014	\$	28,740,974	\$	14,370,487

These amounts come from the school districts AFR's as submitted for the 2008 fiscal year. $\,$

Source: District AFR's as submitted to USOE Compiled by USOE, School Finance - Von Hortin